

References

- (1) ALEXANDER, J.C. *Do the Merits Matter? A Study of Settlements in Securities Class Actions*, 1991, 43 STAN. L. REV. 497.
- (2) FREER, R.D. CIVIL PROCEDURE, New York: Wolters Kluwer Law & Business, 3d ed., 2012.
- (3) GILLES, M. See M. Gilles, *After Class: Aggregate Litigation in the Wake of ATT v. Concepcion*, 2012, 79 U. CHI. L. REV. 623.
- (4) GRACE, S. M. *Strengthening Investor Confidence in Europe: U.S.-Style Securities Class Actions and the Acquis Communautaire*, 2006, 15 J. TRANSNAT'L L. & POL'Y 281.
- (5) LAHAV, A.D. *The Case for "Trial by Formula,"* 2012, 90 TEXAS L. REV. 571.
- (6) MARCUS, D. *Flawed But Noble: Desegregation Litigation and Its Implications for the Modern Class Action*, 2011, 63 FLA. L. REV. 657.
- (7) MULLENIX, L.M. *Federal Class Actions: A New-Death Experience in a Shady Grove*, 2010, 79 GEO. WASH. L. REV. 448.
- (8) REDISH, M.H. WHOLESale JUSTICE: CONSTITUTIONAL DEMOCRACY AND THE PROBLEM OF THE CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT, Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2009.
- (9) SHERRY, S. *Hogs Get Slaughtered at the Supreme Court*, 2011, 2011 SUP. CT. REV. 1.
- (10) 13D WRIGHT, C.A., MILLER, A.R., COOPER, E.H. & FREER, R.D., FEDERAL PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE, St. Paul: Thomson Reuters, 2008.